Rei and Rules

Tim Finin, UMBC Lalana Kagal, MIT AN HONORS UNIVERSITY IN MARYLAND



Motivation

- Rei : a policy specification language
- Rei 4.0
- Conclusions



Motivation

- Objective: We want to influence, constrain and control the behavior of autonomous programs, services and agents in open, heterogeneous, dynamic environments
 - E.g.: web services, pervasive computing environments, collaboration tools, Grid services, multiagent systems, …
- Problem: Conventional identity/authentication approaches to access control & authorization lacking
- Approach: Agents reason about policies expressed in a declarative language in support of decision making, trust evaluation and enforcement.



An Early Policy for Agents

- **1** A robot may not injure a human being, or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.
- 2 A robot must obey the orders given it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.
- **3** A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law.

- Handbook of Robotics, 56th Edition, 2058 A.D.





It's policies all the way down

- In Asimov's stories the robots didn't always follow the policy
 - Unlike traditional "hard coded" rules like DB access control & OS file permissions
 - Policies define "norms of behavior"
 - We use policies to govern the failure to adhere to other policies!
- So, it's natural to worry about ...
 - How agents governed by multiple policies can resolve conflicts among them
 - How to deal with failure to follow policies – sanctions, reputation, trust, etc.
 - Whether policy engineering will be any easier than software engineering

- 1 A robot may not injure a human being, or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.
- 2 A robot must obey the orders given it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.
- 3 A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law. - Handbook of Robotics, 56th Edition, 2058 A.D.

Policies are the new black

- Machine understandable policies have been around forever; think of file permissions and DBMSs.
 - But, there are many new domains that want policies: DRM, content filtering, web services, Grid, P2P extensions, etc.
 - ... and a desire for better policy languages
- Lots of work going on:
 - WS-*, SAML, XACML, EPAL, Ponder, KeyNote, etc.
- Policy languages grounded in OWL: KAoS & Rei
 - KAoS has a (pure) DL approach
 - Rei's approach uses DL + rules



File Edit View Go Bookmarks Tools Help

http://www.csee.umbc.edu/pm4w/



Policy Management for the Web

A <u>WWW2005</u> Workshop 14th International World Wide Web Conference Tuesday 10 May 2005, Chiba Japan hppt://www.cs.umbc.edu/pm4w/

<u>Call for Papers</u> <u>CFP flyer</u> Committee

Home

Important dates

Submit paper

Register

Program

In order to realize the full potential of the World Wide Web as an open, dynamic, and distributed "universe of network-accessible information", it is important for web entities to behave appropriately. Policy management provides the openness, flexibility, and autonomy required to regulate this environment as entities can reason over their own policies and the policies of other entities to decide how to behave. Using policies also allows entities to specify expected behavior of entities they interact with. Entities can also adapt to increasingly complex requirements without the need for substantial changes to the structure or implementation through the use of policies.

Policy management includes policy specification, deployment, reasoning over policies, updating and maintaining policies, and enforcement. We propose that policy management is required for the web for (i) constraining different kinds of behavior including security, privacy, conversation, and collaboration, (ii) configuration management, (iii) describing business processes, and (iv) establishing trust and reputation.

Topics of Interest

Policy specification implementation and enforcement.

C Go C

~

Rei Policy Spec Language

- Rei is a product of Lalana Kagal's 2004 dissertation
- An OWL based declarative policy language
- Models deontic concepts of permissions, prohibitions, obligations and dispensations
- Uses meta policies for conflict resolution
- Uses speech acts for dynamic policy modification
- Used to model different kinds of policies
 - Security; privacy; team formation/ collaboration/maintenance; conversation constraints





Applications – past, present & future

- Coordinating access in supply chain management system (EECOMS - IBM lead)
- Authorization policies in a pervasive computing environment (UMBC)
- Policies for team formation, collaboration, information flow in multi-agent systems (Genoa II (Topsail) - GITI lead)
- Security in semantic web services (UMBC, SRI, CMU)
- Privacy and trust on the Internet (UMBC)
- Enforcing domain policies on handhelds in pervasive computing environments (UMBC, NIST)
- Privacy in a pervasive computing environment (UMBC)
- Task Computing (Fujitsu)



1999

2002

2003

2004

Rei Specifications

Rei Ontologies

Core specs

- Policy
- Granting
- Deontic Object

Action

- Speech Act
- Meta Policy
- Constraint
- Authoring aid specs
 - Analysis



Constraint

- Simple Constraints
 - Triple(Subject, Predicate, Object)
 - Example : Group of entities that are affiliated to the LAIT lab
 - <entity:Variable rdf:ID="Var1"/>
 - <constraint:SimpleConstraint rdf:ID="IsMemberOfLait">
 - <constraint:subject rdf:resource="#Var1"/>
 - <constraint:predicate rdf:resource="&univ;affiliation"/>
 - <constraint:object rdf:resource="&univ;LAITLab"/>
 - </constraint:SimpleConstraint>
- Boolean Constraints : And, Or, and Not



Four Aspects to Meta Policy

Behavior

- ExplicitPermImplicitProh what's not permitted is forbidden.
- ImplicitPermExplicitProh what's not forbidden is permitted.
- ExplicitPermExplicitProh no default

Priority

- Priority between rules in the same policy
- Priority between policies
 - e.g., Department policy overrides University policy

Modality precedence

 e.g., Positive modality holds precedence over negative for CSDept policy

Meta policy default

- CheckModalityPrecFirst
- CheckPriorityFirst



Modality Precedence

Example : To state that negative modality holds for the CSDept and in case of conflict modality precedence should be checked before priorities <policy:Policy rdf:ID="CSDeptPolicy"> <policy:context rdf:resource="#IsMemberOfCS"/> <policy:defaultModality</pre> rdf:resource="&metapolicy;NegativeModalityPrecedence"/> <policy:metaDefault rdf:resource="&metapolicy;CheckModalityPrecFirst"/>

</policy:Policy>



From Rules to DL and Back

- Rei 1.0 started out ~1999 with a rule-based approach implemented via a Prolog meta-interpreter
 - Subsequently translated to CommonRules XML format for interchange and interoperability
- Rei 2.0 used RDF to ground policies in sharable ontologies
- Rei 3.0 embraced a DL approach to take advantage of subsumption reasoning using F-OWL
 - Retained rule-like constraints for greater expressivity
 - Students permitted to use printers in labs with which their advisors are association
- Rei 4.0 may will revise its rule like aspects now that SWLR is available
 - Motivations: formalization, flexibility, simplicity, understandability, ...



To Be Explored

- Simplify and reduce to essential form
- Develop a solid formal semantics
- Model/implement using Courteous Logic
- Compile Rei policies to SWRL or RuleML to obviate need for meta-interpreter
- Additional features
 - Support static conflict detection
 - Provide explanation facility, including explanations for "failed" expectations
 - Build on initial primitive Policy IDE
- Interoperation with or translation between {Rei, KAoS, …}



Summary

- Declarative policies are useful for constraining autonomous behavior in open, distributed systems
 - Important for security, privacy and trust
- These should be grounded in semantic web languages (OWL!) for semantic interoperability
- Rei and KAoS have provided a good base for exploring this approach
- SWRL and RuleML open interesting opportunities for new declarative, rule oriented policy languages
- Rei 4.0 will explore





http://rei.umbc.edu/



backup slides



Implementation Details



Image adapted from Mohinder Chopra



Priority

- Example : To specify that the Federal policy has higher priority that the State policy <metapolicy:PolicyPriority rdf:ID="PriorityFederalState"> <metapolicy:PolicyPriority rdf:ID="PriorityFederalState"> <metapolicy:policyOfGreaterPriority rdf:resource="&gov;Federal"/> <metapolicy:policyOfLesserPriority rdf:resource="&gov;State"/> <metapolicy:PolicyPriority>
- Priorities for policies and rules must be acyclic (it is possible to check this but currently not implemented)
 - Rei does not allow
 - University policy overrides department policy
 - Department policy overrides lab policy
 - Lab policy overrides university policy



- Use Cases (known as test cases in Software Engineering)
 - Define a set of use cases that must always be satisfied in order for the policies to be correct
 - E.g. The dean of the school must always have access to all the grad labs
- WhatIf
 - To check the effects of changes to the policy or ontology before actually committing them
 - E.g If I remove Perm_StudentPrinting from the GradStudentPolicy, will Bob still be able to print ?



Speech Acts

- Speech Acts
 - Delegation, Revocation, Request, Cancel
 - Properties : Sender, Receiver, Content (Deontic object/Action), Conditions
 - Used to dynamically modify existing policies
 - Speech acts are valid only if the entities that make them have the appropriate permissions



Policy

- Properties : Context, Grants, Default Policy, Priorities
 - A *Policy* is applicable if the *Context* is true
- Example

<policy:Policy rdf:ID="CSDeptPolicy">
 <policy:context rdf:resource="#IsMemberOfCS"/>

<policy:grants rdf:resource="#Perm_StudentPrinting"/>

<policy:defaultBehavior</p>

rdf:resource="&metapolicy;ExplicitPermExplicitProh"/>

<policy:defaultModality</p>

rdf:resource="&metapolicy;PositiveModalityPrecedence"/> <policy:metaDefault

rdf:resource="&metapolicy;CheckModalityPrecFirst"/>

</policy:*Policy*>





- Links deontic rules to policies with additional constraints
- Allows for reuse of deontic objects with different constraints
- Encourages modularity
 - Deontic objects and constraints can be defined by technical staff
 - Policy administrator can drag and drop appropriate deontic objects and add constraints



Granting

Example : Same permission used in Policy example with extra constraints

<policy:Granting rdf:ID="Granting_PhStudentLaserPrinting">
 <policy:to rdf:resource="#PersonVar"/>
 <policy:deontic rdf:resource="#Perm_StudentPrinting"/>
 <policy:requirement rdf:resource="#IsLaserPrinterAndPhStudent"/>
</policy:Granting>

<policy:Policy rdf:ID="BioDeptPolicy"> <policy:grants rdf:resource="# Granting_PhStudentLaserPrinting"/> </policy:Policy>



Deontic Object

- Deontic objects
 - Permissions, Prohibitions, Obligations, Dispensations (waiver for obligations)
 - Common Properties : Actor, Action, Constraint {StartingConstraint, EndingConstraint}
 - StartingConstraint subproperty of Constraint





Two kinds of actions : Domain Actions and Speech Acts

Domain Actions

- Properties : Actor, Target, Effects, PreConditions
- Action(Actor, Target, PreConditions, Effects)
- Action can be performed on Target only when the PreConditions are true and oncce performed the Effects are true.

Example : Based on Rei
 <action: Action rdf:ID="EbiquityDeviceUsage">
 <action:actor rdf:resource="#PersonVar"/>
 <action:target rdf:resource="#ObjVar"/>
 <action:location rdf:resource="&inst;EbiquityLab"/>
 <action:precondition rdf:resource="#DeviceBelongsToEbiqLab"/>
<action:Action>



Action

• Example :

<owl:Class rdf:ID="CSPrinting">
 <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="&univ;Printing"/>
 <rdfs:subClassOf>
 <owl:Restriction>
 <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="&action;location"/>
 <owl:allValuesFrom rdf:resource="&inst;CSDept" />
 </owl:Restriction>
 </rdfs:subClassOf>