

# **Beyond DAML**

Mark Greaves DARPA / IXO

18 October 2003





## The DARPA Seedling process (Greaves)

- Get new technology ideas
- Expose the program creation process
- Show / critique some ideas on SEE
- The Semantic Enabling and Exploitation (SEE) Seedling Project (Kettler)
- Thoughts on new technologies (Sycara)
- Discussion





- Established 1958 as the first U.S. response to the Soviet launching of Sputnik
- Long Range R&D Organization of the U.S. Department of Defense
  - Maintain U.S. Military Technology Superiority
  - Prevent technological surprise from her adversaries
  - Operating in coordination with, but completely independent of, the military research and development (R&D) establishment (ARL, AFRL, ONR)
- Pursue Imaginative & Innovative R&D Projects
  - Lightweight staffing model and no dedicated facilities beyond simple office space
  - Personnel rotation policy embedded in the culture
- Cause Fundamental Change in Technology, Industrial Capability & Military Capability
  - Designed to be a deliberate counterpoint to traditional thinking and approaches
  - Successes include VELA HOTEL, M-16, HAVE BLUE (F-116), TACIT BLUE (B-2), Sea Shadow, GPS, ARPANET, TEAL RAIN (Global Hawk), AMBER (Predator), AI, Silicon compilers, MEMS, Strategic Computing Initiative,...
- Always looking for
  - New technology ideas that will result in revolutionary new military capabilities
  - New PMs





- PM finds new technology idea(s) and links it to capability
- Seedling funding to explore idea and create program brief
  - Typically \$200K \$300K / 4-6 months
  - Solidify program argument, financials, milestones, phases, metrics, experimentation strategy, and program deliverable/transition/MOUs.
  - Seedling output is the newstart brief not jumpstart technology
- Brief to DARPA Director
  - Repeat a few times
- BAA construction and publication
- Source Selection (and possible plan revision)
- Contracts Awarded via an Agent
- Program Phase I with milestones
- DARPA Director Brief
- Program Phase II with milestones



### **IXO Program Context**





### New Programs

- Must result in or point to a new *military* capability
- Must be about removing a *technological* barrier, not a policy barrier
  - Problem must be "DARPA-hard"; typically 10x improvement
  - Barrier to capability must be primarily technical, not policy
- Must start from a *specific new* immature technology idea or ideas
  - Specific = must be identified at the program approval phase
  - New = typically based on work that is < 5 yrs old</p>





- What are you trying to do? Articulate your objectives using absolutely no jargon
  - Example: "take anthrax off the table as a threat to our forces"
  - What is the new military capability that Semantic Web Services could provide?
- How is it done today, and what are the limits of current practice?
  - Why is this specifically a technology problem?
- What's new in your approach and why do you think it will be successful?
  - All software is Turing-equivalent, so software methodology is usually not relevant
  - What is your argument/analysis that a 10x difference in a technology will result in a new capability?
- Who cares? If you are successful, what difference will it make?
  - Who is the customer for the new idea, and what evidence do you have that any transition will be successful?
- What are the risks and the payoffs?
- How much will it cost? How long will it take?
- What are the midterm and final exams to check for success?
  - Metrics and experimentation plans defined up front





- What is DARPA's Transition Strategy?
  - How does new capability transfer to a Service or Agency?
    - Gold: DARPA work leads to a direct acquisition
    - Silver: DARPA work leads to a direct maturation effort by a PEO
    - Bronze: DARPA work leads to a new capability that a contractor will try to sell back to DoD
    - Tin: DARPA work leads to a better state of the world
  - Is there an MOU / MOA and funding in the POM?
- Why is this different from other DARPA and DoD programs?
- What are our metrics for measuring our progress?
  - Always difficult for software; exceptionally difficult for architectures
- What are the phases of the Program?
  - Phase I is typically 18 months
  - Phase II funding is contingent on meeting specific agreed-upon phase I milestones





#### New Program Definition Effort – SEE

- The Semantic Web is too important for DARPA to declare victory with DAML
- United States investment in fundamental semantic web technologies must continue
- I am actively working to define a new program
  - SEE effort
  - NCL and AC2 are tangentially related
- Goal: a newstart brief to the DARPA Director in January, with a possible solicitation by late summer 2004

#### SEE Problems

- No good transition target with acquisition authority
- What exactly is the new military capability, since we already integrate systems why isn't this a policy problem?
- How do we measure the impact
  - Where is the factor of 10 improvement that is directly attributable to service composition?





## The DARPA Seedling process (Greaves)

- Get new technology ideas
- Expose the program creation process
- Show / critique some ideas on SEE
- The Semantic Enabling and Exploitation (SEE) Seedling Project (Kettler)
- Thoughts on new technologies (Sycara)
- Discussion